Nano-Micro Letters

ARTICLE



Cite as Nano-Micro Lett. (2024) 16:78

Received: 8 August 2023 Accepted: 27 November 2023 © The Author(s) 2024

HIGHLIGHTS

Lithium-Ion Charged Polymer Channels Flattening Lithium Metal Anode

Haofan Duan¹, Yu You¹, Gang Wang¹, Xiangze Ou¹, Jin Wen¹, Qiao Huang¹, Pengbo Lyu¹, Yaru Liang¹, Qingyu Li², Jianyu Huang¹, Yun-Xiao Wang³, Hua-Kun Liu^{3,4}, Shi Xue Dou^{3,4}, Wei-Hong Lai³

- The LiNO₃-implanted electroactive β phase polyvinylidene fluoride-*co*-hexafluoropropylene was built as an artificial solid electrolyte interphase layer for dendrite suppression.
- The electronegatively charged polymer layer can capture Li ion on its surface to form Li-ion charged channels and recompense the ionic flux of electrolytes via continuous supply of Li ion.
- The modified Li anode achieved a long cycle life over 2000 h under ultrahigh Li utilization of 50% in symmetric cell and worked in full cell for 100 cycles at harsh condition of extremely low N/P of 0.83.

ABSTRACT The concentration difference in the near-surface region of lithium metal is the main cause of lithium dendrite growth. Resolving this issue will be key to achieving high-performance lithium metal batteries (LMBs). Herein, we construct a lithium nitrate (LiNO₃)-implanted electroactive β phase polyvinylidene fluoride-*co*-hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP) crystalline polymorph layer (PHL). The electronegatively charged polymer chains attain lithium ions on the surface to form lithium-ion charged channels. These channels act as reservoirs to sustainably release Li ions to recompense the ionic flux of electrolytes, decreasing the growth of lithium dendrites. The stretched molecular channels can also accelerate the transport of Li ions. The combined effects enable a high Coulombic efficiency of 97.0% for 250 cycles in lithium (Li)llcopper (Cu) cell and a stable symmetric plating/stripping



behavior over 2000 h at 3 mA cm⁻² with ultrahigh Li utilization of 50%. Furthermore, the full cell coupled with PHL-Cu@Li anode and LiFePO₄ cathode exhibits long-term cycle stability with high-capacity retention of 95.9% after 900 cycles. Impressively, the full cell paired with LiNi_{0.87}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.03}O₂ maintains a discharge capacity of 170.0 mAh g⁻¹ with a capacity retention of 84.3% after 100 cycles even under harsh condition of ultralow N/P ratio of 0.83. This facile strategy will widen the potential application of LiNO₃ in ester-based electrolyte for practical high-voltage LMBs.

Haofan Duan and Yu You contributed equally to this work.

⁴ Institute of Energy Materials Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, People's Republic of China

Published online: 08 January 2024

[🖂] Gang Wang, esgwang@xtu.edu.cn; Yaru Liang, yaruliang@xtu.edu.cn; Wei-Hong Lai, weihongl@uow.edu.au

¹ Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Thin Film Materials and Devices, School of Material Sciences and Engineering, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, People's Republic of China

² Guangxi Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Energy Materials, School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Science, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China

³ Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Australian Institute of Innovative Materials, University of Wollongong, Innovation Campus, Squires Way, North Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia

KEYWORDS Polymer ionic channel; Li metal batteries; Artificial protective layer; Uniform Li deposition; Electrochemical performances

1 Introduction

Li metal is an ideal anode material for next-generation rechargeable batteries because of its ultrahigh specific capacity (3860 mAh g⁻¹) and lowest redox potential (- 3.04 V versus SHE) [1-4]. However, some fundamental challenges of Li metal in terms of low Coulombic efficiency (CE), short cycle lifespan, and safety concerns caused by the uncontrolled Li dendrite growth severely hinder its commercial application in lithium metal batteries (LMBs) [5-8]. Li metal with the features of high chemistry activity will easily react with organic electrolyte and Li slats to form heterogeneous solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer [9, 10]. The SEI layer plays a crucial role in battery safety, energy storage and cycle life, typically varying in width from several to hundreds of nanometers. Ideally, the SEI layer should have good ionic conductivity, perfect structural uniformity, and high elastic strength, which can promote Li⁺ transfer to the entire electrode surface quickly and uniformly, thus preventing Li dendrite growth and achieving uniform Li deposition [11, 12]. However, the native SEI layer with brittleness and instability severely impacts the Li plating/stripping processes [13–15]. During cycling, the rough and fragile SEI would crack under huge volume change, the fractured SEI will further expose the inner fresh Li and generate new fragile SEI [16]. The repeated break/generation of SEI will irreversibly consume Li metal and electrolyte, leads to low CE and short lifespan [17–19]. Moreover, the cracks will become "Hot Spot", and Li⁺ near these active sites deposits rapidly, which accelerate the growth of dendrites [20]. Besides, the uncontrolled Li dendrite would pierce the separator and bring serious safety hazards [21, 22]. Therefore, it is necessary to build a stable SEI layer with high ionic conductivity and eminent elastic strength to suppress Li dendrite growth and expedite the commercialization process of LMBs.

In recent years, considerable efforts have been explored to construct robust SEI layer for Li dendrite inhibition through optimizing electrolyte configuration or building artificial SEI layer [23–27]. Previous studies reported that lithium nitrate (LiNO₃) as electrolyte additive was a valid path to strengthen

the interface stability for dendrite suppression [22, 23]. The LiNO₃ has been proved to be critical electrolyte additive for Li-S batteries in restraining the "shuttle effect" of lithium polysulfides and improving interfacial stability of Li metal anode [29-32]. The NO₃⁻ will degrade into Li⁺ conductors, Li₃N and LiN_xO_y, which are beneficial for Li plating/stripping behavior [33, 34]. However, the application of LiNO₃ additive for Li metal batteries is hampered by its extremely low solubility (<0.05 M) in ester-based electrolyte [35, 36]. Nowadays, researchers have been devoted to enhancing the solubility of LiNO₃ in ester-based electrolyte owing to the excellent filmforming capacity for dendrites suppression and potential application for high-voltage LMBs. On the one hand, considerable solubilizers, such as CuF₂, pyridine, tin(II), γ -butyrolactone and tetraglyme, were developed to facilitate the dissolution of LiNO₃ in carbonate-based electrolyte [28, 37–40]. However, the long-term cycle stability with high CE of LMBs was still hampered by the limited amount of LiNO₃ dissolution (less than 0.3 M). On the other hand, using organic framework as a mediation may be a promising way to remarkably increase the utilization of LiNO₃ in ester-based electrolyte [41-43]. For instance, Liu et al. introduced porous PVDF gel to encapsulate LiNO₃ nanoparticles, which realized stable dissolution of LiNO₃ during battery operation and exhibited high CE of ~98.1% over 200 cycles at a current density of 1 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ under 1 mAh cm⁻² [44]. Yang et al. prepared a poly(vinyl carbonate) organogel interlayer containing LiNO₃ via electrospinning [39]. Thanks to the continuous release of $LiNO_3$ from the interlayer, the cyclic stability of the Li metal anode was significantly improved to 300 cycles with high CE of 98.5%. Recently, a strategy for preparing ceramic-based composite protective layer enriched with LiNO₃ was developed [45]. The slow release of LiNO3 into the electrolyte during cycling facilitated the sustained formation of stable SEI layer enriched Li₃N that can effectively suppress Li dendrites. However, the development of LiNO₃ for LMBs with high Li utilization in ester-based electrolyte remains challenging.

In this study, a composite artificial SEI composed of PVDF-HFP (PH) and LiNO_3 for Li metal anode is proposed. The PHL layer with high polarity can capture Li ions on its surface to form Li-ion charged channels, which serve as reservoirs to continually release Li ion during battery operation, thus lowering local Li-ion flux and achieving uniform Li-ion deposition (Fig. 1b). With the assist of the PHL layer, the LillCu cells exhibited high CE of 97.0% and 95.9% over 250 cycles at 0.5 and 1.0 mA cm⁻², respectively. Under ultrahigh Li utilization of 50%, a long cycle life over 2000 h at a current density of 3 mA cm⁻² could also be achieved in LillLi symmetric cell. Besides, LMBs with LFP exhibit long-term cycle stability with high-capacity retention rate of 95.9% for 900 cycles and 84.3% with NCM under the harsh condition of extremely low N/P ratio of 0.83 for 100 cycles.

2 Experimental

2.1 Material

All the materials were obtained from commercial sources without any purification. Metallic Li foil was purchased from China Energy Lithium Co., Ltd., ($\Phi = 15.6 \text{ mm}, 99.95\%$). Polyvinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP, M = 400,000) was obtained from Sigma. Tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.9%), lithium nitrate (LiNO₃, 99.99%), 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP, 99.5%), and dimethyl carbonate (DMC, 99%) were purchased from Macklin. Super-P, polyvinylidene fluoride, LiFePO₄ (LFP) and LiNi_{0.87}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.03}O₂ (NCM) cathodes were purchased from Guangdong Canrd New Energy Technology Co., Ltd. The ester-based electrolyte, 1 M LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC), ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC) and DMC (EC/EMC/DMC, 1:1:1 by volume) with 5 wt% fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) as additive was supplied by Tinci Materials Technology Co, Ltd. All the operations involving Li metal anode and battery configuration were prepared inside an argon-filled Mikrouna glove box with both H₂O and O₂ concentrations below 0.1 ppm.

2.2 Material Synthesis

The polymer-based composite film and polymer-based protective layer were prepared by a solution casting method. In brief, the PVDF-HFP and LiNO_3 (PHL) with various mass ratio were dissolved in THF solution under magnetic stirring for 24 h. To prepared polymer-based composite film, the above solution was cast onto a Teflon plate and dried for 24 h to remove the THF solvent. For the PHL-Cu electrode, a certain amount of above solution was cast onto Cu foil after THF evaporation. The cathode electrode was obtained by mixing commercial cathode material (LFP or NCM), Super-P and polyvinylidene fluoride with a mass ratio of 8:1:1 using N-methyl pyrrolidinone (NMP) as a dispersant. The mass loading of LFP or NCM was around 3.6 and 6.0 mg cm⁻², respectively. Specially, the mass loading of commercial NCM cathode was 18 mg cm⁻².

2.3 Material Characterization

The morphology and elemental distribution were observed by field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Hitachi SU8010). Before operating, all the electrodes were rinsed with DMC to remove the residual Li salts and organic electrolyte after disassembling. The X-ray diffraction (XRD, SmartLab SE) technique was performed to detect the structure of the as-prepared samples in a 20 range of 10° -80°. The compositional evolutions of the electrodes were monitored by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB 250XI). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Nicolet 6700) and Raman spectrometer (WiTech alpha300R) were conducted to analyze the microstructure of the as-prepared samples.

2.4 Electrochemical Measurements

All the electrochemical performance tests of the as-prepared materials were evaluated using CR2016 or CR2032 type coin cells under Neware battery testing system (CT-4008T-5V50mA-164, Shenzhen, China).

The ionic conductivity (σ) of the PHL composite film was measured by electrochemical workstation (CHI660e, Shanghai Chenhua) in the frequency range of 10^5-10^{-1} Hz at room temperature with stainless steel (SS)|PHLISS cell. And the ionic conductivity was calculated according to the following Eq. 1:

$$\sigma = \frac{L}{R_{\rm b}S} \tag{1}$$

where L, $R_{\rm b}$, and S represent the thickness of film, bulk ohmic resistance and area of electrode, respectively.

The lithium-ion transference number (t_{Li^+}) was estimated by combination of chronoamperometry and EIS before/after polarization in symmetric cell, and then calculated with the following Eq. 2:



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of Li plating/stripping behavior for the **a** bare Cu and **b** PHL-Cu electrodes; **c** XRD pattern of LiNO₃, PH and PHL; **d** SEM image of PHL-Cu electrode; **e** FT-IR and **f** Raman spectra of PH, PHL and LiNO₃; **g** Chronoamperomtric curves and corresponding EIS before/after polarization of PHL-Cu@Li; **h** LSV profiles of PHL film with mass ratio of 3:1 in LillSS cell (SS: stainless steel) at a scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹; **i** EIS plots of the SSIPHLISS cells with various mass ratios at room temperature; **j** Differential charge density distribution of PHL; **k** Binding energy of Li atoms with PVDF-HFP, LiNO₃, and PHL

$$t_{\rm Li^+} = \frac{I_s(\Delta V - I_0 R_0)}{I_0(\Delta V - I_s R_s)}$$
(2)

where ΔV is the potential difference applied during chronoamperometric step (10 mV), I_0 , I_s and R_0 , R_s are currents and interfacial resistances at the initial and the steady-state in the chronoamperometric step, respectively.

For the cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement was performed on electrochemical workstation (Gamry, Reference 600+) using LillCu cells with the voltage range of – 0.3–0.6 or 0–2.5 V at a scan rate of 10 or 5 mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were conducted at an electrochemical workstation (CHI660e, Shanghai Chenhua) in the frequency range from 10⁵ to 10^{-2} Hz. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) tests were conducted on LillSS cell at a scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹ in a voltage range of 2.0–6.0 V. Tafel test was measured on electrochemical workstation (Gamry, Reference 600+) using LillLi symmetric cell with a voltage range from – 0.2 to 0.2 V at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹.

The LillCu cells was applied to achieve CE testing, the Li metal was firstly plated onto the Cu substrate under various current density-capacity conditions and then stripped away up to 1 V. For the fabrication of the bare Cu@Li or PHL-Cu@Li anodes, 3 or 6 mAh cm⁻² Li metal of was deposited within bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode. The symmetric cells with bare Li or PHL-Cu@Li anode were performed on various current densities and deposition capacity to study the Li plating/stripping behavior. 50 µL of ester-based electrolyte was provided for the LillLi and LillCu cells. For the full battery system, the bare Cu@ Li or PHL-Cu@Li electrode was paired with LFP or NCM cathode. 50 µL of ester-based electrolyte was provided for the full cells. The optical cell with a transparent window was assembled by the bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode and thin Li without using separator. The Li deposition process was recorded visually by optical microscopy at a current density 10 mA cm⁻², and the play speed of the Movie was accelerated by almost 12 times.

2.5 Calculation Method

All structure optimizations were performed at density functional theory (DFT) level using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method with electron exchange correlations described by the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) scheme [46]. All calculations were performed employing Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) [47]. The energy cutoff of the plane-wave basis set has been consistently set to 500 eV. The convergence criteria of 0.05 eV Å⁻¹ and 10⁻⁴ eV were used for the forces and energy during the geometry optimizations. The DFT-D3 correction was used to describe the dispersion contribution [48].

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Synthesis and Characterizations of PHL Layer

The XRD analysis of PH, PHL and LiNO₃ is compared in Fig. 1c. As displayed, the peaks of 18.25° and 19.88° were corresponded to the non-polar α and polar β phases (Fig. S1), respectively. As can be clearly seen, the intensity of non-polar α phase was reduced for the PHL, indicating that the introduced LiNO₃ is beneficial for the formation of electroactive β phase, which may related to the ion-dipole interaction between ions and the polymer matrix [49]. The PHL composite films with mass ratios were conducted by XRD tests, as shown in Figs. 1c and S2. No peaks related to LiNO3 can be observed in the PHL films with mass ratios of 1:3 and 1:5. The absent peaks of LiNO₃ indicate that the optimal LiNO₃ can be successfully embedded into the polymer framework with dissociated state rather than crystalline state. However, with the LiNO₃ content increased, the peaks related to LiNO₃ (24.71°, 32.05°, 42.32°) was appeared (Fig. S2a). It can be seen form the SEM results (Fig. 1d), the PHL composite film showed a relative smooth surface with thickness of ~ 36 μ m rather than porous structure (Fig. S3), verifying the complete merger of LiNO₃ into the polymer matrix. Meanwhile, the optical photographs verified that the PHL films with optimal LiNO₃ exhibit excellent flexibility and mechanical properties, as displayed in Fig. S4. As shown in the N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherm, the surface area of PHL was $3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Fig. S5), further indicating that the LiNO₃ was incorporated into the polymer matrix. The structural properties of PH, PHL and LiNO₃ was analyzed by FT-IR (Fig. 1e) and Raman spectra (Fig. 1f). In the FT-IR spectrum, the characteristics vibrational peaks located at 837, 874 and 1275 cm⁻¹ were ascribed to the polar β phase [50]. And the new peaks were appeared at 675 and 1664 cm^{-1} for the PHL film, which may be caused by the cross-linking with interaction between the Li⁺ and polar functional group of PH [51]. The pronounced Raman peaks at 800 and 837 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the Raman modes of non-polar α and polar β phases [52]. Apparently, the intensity of α phase reduced after introducing LiNO₃. Compared to PH, a broader peak at 1435 cm⁻¹ corresponding to β phase electroactive can be observed for the PHL, indicating lower crystallization. After merging into the polymer skeleton, the peak at 1070 cm⁻¹ corresponding to LiNO₃ undergoes slight shift to 1043 cm^{-1} , which could be derived from the interaction between the -CF- functional group and Li ion.

Ionic conductivity is a crucial indicator for artificial SEI layer, which deeply affects rapid Li-ion transport and uniform Li deposition. As shown in Figs. 1g, S6 and Table S1, the ionic conductivity was conducted by EIS at room temperature via assembling SSIPHLISS cells. And the calculated result revealed that the ionic conductivity of PHL composite film with rational mass ratio of 1:3 is 3.39×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹. Therefore, the protective layer with high ionic conductivity is beneficial for uniform Li deposition at high current density. Chronoamperometry profile and EIS before/after polarization of the symmetric cell were depicted in Figs. 1h and S7. The Li⁺ transference number (t_{Ii^+}) of the PHL-Cu@Li is 0.56, which is prominently higher that of bare Li (0.27). Such high t_{I,i^+} , caused by the cross-linking with interaction between the Li⁺ and -CF- functional group (in accordance with the Raman and FT-IR results), is able to avoid anion depletioninduced strong electric fields and thus inhibit the growth of Li dendrites. Besides, the electrochemical stability of the PHL was monitored by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) tests in LillSS cell, as shown in Fig. 1i. The PHL films with mass ratio of 3:1 exhibited high anodic peaks (> 5.25 V), implying the reliability of PHL for LMBs during highvoltage operation. To examine the impact of the formation of the PHL on its affinity for Li ions compared to its constituent materials, we conducted DFT calculations. The corresponding differential charge density distributions upon the adsorption of Li over the PHL show a significant charge transfer from Li to PH and LiNO₃ (Fig. 1j). These results further confirm the substantial interaction between Li and PHL. The lithophilicity of PHL, PH and LiNO₃ was investigated based on the optimized geometries (Fig. S8), as shown in Fig. 1k. It is noteworthy that the binding energies of the atoms on different substrates increase sequentially: PVDF-HFP (-0.26 eV) < LiNO₃ (-1.00 eV) < PHL (-1.82 eV). Obviously, the PHL exhibits a higher affinity for Li compared to PH and LiNO₃, indicating more Li diffusion channels, which is beneficial for the rapid Li-ion transport and achieve uniform Li deposition.

3.2 Electrochemical Performances of PHL-Cu or PHL-Cu@Li Electrodes

CE is a vital parameter to appraisal the utilization efficiency of Li metal anode, which interprets as the ratio between the stripped Li and the plated Li during the plating/stripping process. The LillCu cells with bare Cu and PHL-Cu were assembled to monitor the CE under the various testing conditions. As shown in Figs. 2a and S9, a stable cycling of 250 cycles with a high CE of ~97.0% was achieved by PHL-Cu electrode in carbonate-based electrolyte, while the bare Cu electrode present a lower CE and worse lifespan at 0.5 mA cm^{-2} under a deposition capacity of 1 mAh cm $^{-2}$. Figure 2b depicts the initial plating profiles of both bare Cu and PHL-Cu electrodes at 0.5 mA cm⁻² to 1 mAh cm⁻². The PHL-Cu showed a relatively low nucleation overpotential $(\mu_n, \text{ calculated by the difference between the voltage dip})$ and stable plateau) of 52 mV, which is significantly lower than that of the bare Cu electrode (86 mV), indicating lower nucleation energy barrier and faster kinetics nucleation process of Li on PHL-Cu substrate. When the current density increased to 1 mA cm⁻², the cell with PHL-Cu contained outstanding stability for more than 250 cycles with average CE of 95.9% (Fig. 2c), which is superior to the bare Cu electrode (decay to 80% within 100 cycles). To demonstrate the superiority of the designed PHL layer, 0.257 M of LiNO₃ (matched with the PHL layer) was introduced into the ester-based electrolyte as additive, as expected, the LiNO₃ shows poor solubility in the ester-based electrolyte (Fig. S10), and the LillCu cell using LiNO₃ as electrolyte additive presents low CE and short cycle lifespan (Fig. S11). In comparison to the bare Cu electrode, the PHL-Cu electrode exhibited lower interfacial impedance after different cycles (Figs. 2d and S12), implying a preferable electrochemical kinetics for Li plating/stripping behavior and in accordance



Fig. 2 a CE and **b** initial plating profiles of LillCu cells with bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode at 0.5 mA cm⁻² under a areal capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻²; **c** CE of LillCu cells with bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode at 1 mA cm⁻² under a areal capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻²; **d** Charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) values of LillCu cells with bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode after different cycles; **e** CE of LillCu cells with PHL-Cu electrode at 2 mA cm⁻² under areal capacity of 2 mAh cm⁻²; **f** CV curves of LillCu cells with bare Cu or PHL-Cu electrode stude to respect to resp

with the Cyclic voltammetry (CV) results (Fig. S13). Even at the current density of 2 mA cm⁻² under higher areal capacity of 2 mA cm⁻², the cell with PHL-Cu electrode still sustained a stably cycling with high CE of ~95.4% for 120 cycles (Figs. 2e and S14), while the CE of cells with bare Cu appeared fading after only 30 cycles. The results clearly demonstrate that the PHL layer can markedly reduce the nucleation barrier of Li and enhance Li utilization efficiency during long-term cycling. CV was conducted to survey the formation mechanism of the SEI layer in LillCu cell at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ in a voltage range of 0–2.5 V. As depicted in Fig. 2f, the cell with PHL-Cu@Li anode displayed a broad peak at ~ 1.42 V versus Li/Li⁺, which could be ascribed to the reduction of LiNO₃. The decomposition products, mainly nitrogen-containing species, are favorable for faster Li-ion transport and uniform Li deposition.

To further evaluate the superiority of PHL layer under long-term cycling, the galvanostatic test of symmetric cells at various capacity-current density conditions was performed. First, 6 mAh cm^{-2} of Li was plated on the



Fig. 3 Voltage profiles of LillLi symmetric cells using bare Li or PHL-Cu@Li at a fixed areal capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻² under various current densities: **a** 1 mA cm⁻², **b** 3 mA cm⁻² and **c** 5 mA cm⁻²; **d** Overpotential of LillLi symmetric cells using bare Li or PHL-Cu@Li; **e** Voltage profiles of LillLi symmetric cells with PHL-Cu@Li at a current density of 3 mA cm⁻² under high capacity of 3 mAh cm⁻²; **f** Tafel curves of LillLi symmetric cells using bare Li or PHL-Cu@Li in the voltage range of -0.2 to 0.2 V

PHL-Cu electrode at 0.5 mA cm⁻² to form the PHL-Cu@ Li anode. Figure 3a, b depicts the Li plating/stripping profiles of LillLi symmetric cells at current densities of 1 and 3 mA cm⁻² under a fixed capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻² in esterbased electrolyte. The bare Li anode delivered gradual increased overpotential with cycle number and failed after only 255 and 50 h, respectively, implying the information of fluctuant electrode/electrolyte interface due to the Li dendrites and "dead Li". In contrast, the cells with PHL-Cu@Li anode displayed durable cycle lifespan (3000 h for 1 mA cm⁻², 2000 h for 3 mA cm⁻² and 1000 h for 5 mA cm⁻²) with low overpotential. Such extremely different results indicate a stable and flat SEI layer was built, which can be also demonstrated EIS tests (Fig. S15) after cycling. The excellent Li plating/stripping behavior with

low overpotential (Fig. 3d) could be attributed to the stable electrode/electrolyte interface. The LiNO₃, prestored in polymer framework, would continuously decompose into nitrogen-containing species for heightening the stability of SEI layer during operation. Moreover, the electroactive β phase with plentiful functional groups can cross-link with Li⁺, which not only further improve the interfacial stability but also expedite the Li-ion transport. Under the shield of the functional layer, the PHL-Cu@Li anode exhibited an impressive cycle stability for 2000 h with high Li utilization of 50% at 3 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 3e). When the capacity rises to 4 mAh cm⁻² (corresponding to a high Li utilization of 66.7%, Fig. S16), a stable Li plating/stripping behavior over 1000 h with small hysteresis voltage is well maintained for the PHL-Cu@Li anode. Besides, the Tafel plots

(Fig. 3f) indicated that the exchange current density of PHL-Cu@Li anode (3.63 mA cm⁻²) is much higher than that of the bare Li anode (0.016 mA cm⁻²), implying the faster charge transfer of PHL-Cu@Li anode, which are in accordance with the chronoamperomtric and EIS results. Compared with previous reports on LiNO₃ dissolution in carbonate electrolyte for Li metal anodes (Table S2), the PHL-Cu@Li anodes in this work present conspicuous advances in terms of long cycle life, high current density and high areal deposition capacity.

3.3 Li Deposition Morphologies and Characterization of PHL Layer

To show the benefits of PHL layer, the Li deposition morphology evolutions on bare Cu and PHL-Cu electrodes were illustrated and investigated. For the bare Cu electrode (Figs. 4a and S17), the intrinsic protrusions and cracks of the bare Cu surface can cause Li-ion flux to gather in their regions and then induces the uneven deposition, leading to the accumulation of "dead Li" and rapid depletion of electrolyte during further cycling. With the aid of PHL layer (Figs. 1d, 4d), uniform and dendrite-free Li deposition can be realized, which is related to the following reasons: (1) The polymer matrix serves as a reservoir to release LiNO₃ for gradually generation of nitrogen-containing species and then suppress Li dendrite growth; (2) The PHL layer with plentiful polar functional groups and high ion conductivity is capable of homogenizing Li-ion flux and facilitating uniform Li plating/stripping behavior. To further confirm the significance of the PHL layer, SEM was performed to visually observe the Li deposition morphology on bare Cu and PHL-Cu after 50 cycles at a current density of 1 mA cm⁻² with a fixed capacity of 1 mAh cm^{-2} . The bare Cu electrode exhibited a rough surface with plentiful Li dendrites, and the accumulated "dead Li" layer reaches 9 µm (Fig. 4b,



Fig. 4 Schematic diagrams of Li plating behavior on **a** bare Cu and **d** PHL-Cu electrodes; SEM images of the **b,c** bare Cu and **e,f** PHL-Cu electrodes after 50 cycles at 1 mA cm⁻²; In situ optical microscopy images (captured from Movies S1 and S2) of the Li plating process on **g** bare Cu and **h** PHL-Cu electrodes at a high current density of 10 mA cm⁻²



Fig. 5 XPS characterization of the SEI films for the PHL-Cu electrodes after different cycles in LillCu cells: a N 1s, b Li 1s c F 1s and d O 1s

c). In contrast, the PHL-Cu electrode maintains a flat and smooth surface without any dendrites, and the Li is uniform deposited into the PHL (Fig. 4e, f). From the EDS mapping of PHL-Cu electrode after 50 cycles (Fig. S18), the N and O elements were uniformly distributed on its surface, indicating the formation of stable interface layer. Besides, it's worth noting the PHL-Cu electrode still showed flat surface without any Li dendrites or "dead Li" after longer cycling (Fig. S19). The stark results demonstrate that the robust PHL layer can homogenize the Li-ion flux and accelerate interfacial ion transport, resulting in compact and dendrite-free Li deposition morphology.

In-situ optical microscopy was carried out to visually observe the dynamic morphology evolution of Li deposition. The LillCu cell with bare Li as anode and bare Cu or PHL-Cu as cathode was assembled without use of separator. The optical cells were conducted under a very high current density of 10 mA cm⁻² to record the morphological evolution of deposited Li. Both of the bare Cu and PHL-Cu electrodes exhibited smooth and tidy surface in the initial phase (Fig. 4g, h, captured from Movies S1 and S2). For the bare Cu electrode, the dendrite starts to appear on the surface of Cu substrate just after 1 min of deposition. With the increase of deposition time, the "dead Li" and dendritic Li massively aggregate on bare Cu surface due to the lack of robust SEI layer. During early stage, the cell with PHL-Cu electrode showed uniform Li deposition with smooth morphology. And the flat surface of PHL-Cu without observable Li dendrite or "dead Li" could be maintained during the further deposition process, demonstrating that the PHL layer is useful to guide uniform Li deposition and reduce interfacial adverse reaction.

XPS was applied to detect the element component of the Cu electrode after various cycles of Li plating/stripping behavior. The main elements of the surface for the bare Cu electrode are Li, C, O, P and F, while the elements of the surface with PHL-Cu electrode are Li, C, O, N, P and F (Fig. S20). In the N 1*s* spectra (Fig. 5a), the peaks at 399.6, 400.8, 403.2 and 407.5 eV are assigned to Li₃N, LiN_xO_y, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, respectively, which originated from the degradation of LiNO₃ and agree with the previous reports [28, 42, 53]. With further cycling, the Li₃N, LiN_xO_y, NO₂⁻ increased, while the NO₃⁻ decreased, demonstrating the polymer framework can serve as a reservoir for



Fig. 6 a Cycling stability and **b** initial charge/discharge curve of bare Cu@LillLFP and PHL-Cu@LillLFP full cells at 0.5C; **c** rate capability of bare Cu@LillLFP and PHL-Cu@LillLFP full cells; **d** Cycling stability of bare Cu@LillLFP and PHL-Cu@LillLFP full cells at 1C; **e** Cycling stability of bare Cu@LillNCM and PHL-Cu@LillNCM full cells at 0.5C; **f** Cycling stability of PHL-Cu@LillNCM full cell under a ultralow N/P ratio of 0.83 at 0.5C; **g** Comparison of capacity retention and the corresponding cycle life of the PHL-Cu@Li in practical LMBs (The normalized cycle number refers to the ratio of total cycle number to the N/P value)

gradually releasing LiNO₃ into the ester electrolyte. In the Li 1s spectra (Fig. 5b), three pronounced peaks at 54.9, 55.5 and 56.0 eV of the PHL-Cu electrode could be related to the ROCO₂Li, Li–N and LiF, respectively [28]. The intensity of Li–N increased with deeply cycling, further signifying the uninterrupted generation of Li₃N and LiN_xO_y. The peaks appeared at 54.9, 55.2 and 56.0 eV in Li 1s spectrum (Fig. S21a) for the bare Cu electrode of could ascribed to the ROCO₂Li, Li₂CO₃ and LiF, respectively. As for the F 1s spectra (Fig. 5c) of the PHL-Cu electrode, four visible peaks located at 685.3, 687.5, 688.3 and 690.2 eV could be related to the LiF, P–F, semi-ionic C–F and covalent C–F bonds, respectively [54]. For the bare Cu electrode, only two binding energies at 685.3 and 687.5 eV correspond to

the LiF and P–F bond can be observed in the F 1s spectrum (Fig. S21b). For the O 1s spectra, the PHL-Cu electrode (Fig. 5d) presented an additional N–O peak at 533.6 eV compared to that of the bare Cu electrode (Fig. S21c), further support the generation of nitrogen-containing species [55]. Specially, the intensity of Li_2CO_3 for PHL-Cu electrode was lower than that of the bare Cu electrode, indicating the side reactions with electrolyte were greatly reduced and can be also verified in the C 1s spectra (Fig. S22). The continuously nitrogen-containing species, generated by the reduction of LiNO₃, are capable of improving the stability and ionic conductivity of the SEI layer, which conduce to suppress Li dendrites and expedite Li-ion transport, thus achieving uniform Li deposition.

3.4 Electrochemical Performance of PHL-Cu@Li anode in Practical LMB

To survey the practical value of PHL in LMBs, the Li anodes coupled with commercial cathode (LFP or NCM) were employed to assemble full cells. The bare Cu@Li and PHL-Cu@Li anodes were obtained by respectively depositing a certain amount of Li on bare Cu and PHL-Cu electrodes at 0.5 mA cm⁻². As can be seen in Fig. 6a, the PHL-Cu@LillLFP cell exhibited long cycle life with admirable capacity retention of 95.9% and high average CE of 99.7% over 900 cycles at 0.5C (1C = 170 mAh g^{-1} , N/P = 9.8). By contrast, the bare Cu@LillLFP cell presented inferior cycle performance less than 200 cycles (capacity retention is only~40.1%) and undulant CE. Figure 6b depicts the initial charge/discharge profile of LillLFP full cell in a voltage range of 2.7-4.0 V. The PHL modified cell delivered a similar discharge capacity (145.7 vs. 145.6 mAh g^{-1}) but lower polarization compared to the bare Cu@LillLFP cell, indicating a faster Li-ion transport realized by the protective layer. For the rate capability test (Figs. 6c and S23), the discharge capacity of the PHL-Cu@Li anode is higher than that of the bare Cu@Li anode at high C rate. When the charge/discharge rate rise to 1C and 2C, the PHL-Cu@LillLFP cell still displayed excellent cycle stability over 340 and 150 cycles (Figs. 6d and S24). A relatively small charge transfer impedance for the PHL-Cu@LillLFP cell after rate capability tests could be obtained from the EIS results (Fig. S25), indicating the formation of a more stable interface, which can be also verified by the SEM results (Fig. S26). To further confirm the availability of PHL layer for high-energy-density NCM cathode, the LillNCM full cell (the N/P is 5.8) were assembled and cycled with a voltage range of 2.8-4.3 V. As depicted in Figs. 6b and S27, the cell with PHL-Cu@Li anode showed lower overpotential, further proving faster ion transport. The PHL-Cu@LillNCM cell exhibited an initial capacity of 198.9 mAh g^{-1} at 1C (1C = 200 mAh g^{-1}) with a capacity retention of 68.5% after 400 cycles (81.7% for 200 cycles) and an average CE of 99.1%. By contrast, the Cu@ LillNCM battery delivered lower specific capacity and worse CE within 110 cycles. Impressively, when the limited PHL-Cu@Li anode (3 mAh cm^{-2}) were assembled with high mass loading NCM (18 mg cm⁻²) cathode at lower-level N/P of 0.83, the full cells maintained over 100 cycles with considerable capacity retention of 84.3% at 0.5C (Fig. 6f). The cycle stability and capacity retention of the full cells with PHL-Cu@Li anode outperform most of the reported results, as summarized in Fig. 6g and Table S3. The remarkably prolonged cycle life of LillLFP and LillNCM full cells could be ascribed to the PHL layer, which acts as a reservoir to release LiNO₃ for stable SEI build. The SEI layer enriched with nitrogen-containing species is capable of improving the stability of electrode/electrolyte interface and expediting the transport of Li ion, resulting in uniform Li deposition and dendrite suppression.

4 Conclusions

In summary, LiNO₃-implanted electroactive β phase PVDF-HFP crystalline polymorph layer was built on Li surface for dendrite suppression. The electroactive polymer chains acquire Li ions on its surface to form Li-ion charged channels, which could serve as a reservoir for continuous release of Li ion to recompense the ionic flux of electrolytes. Additionally, the ionic conductive nitrogen-containing species derived from LiNO₃ enhance as well as the stretched molecular channels could homogenize the Li-ion flux and promote the ion transport, greatly restraining the dendrite growth and achieving uniform Li deposition. Consequently, a higher CE of 97.0% over 250 cycles in ester electrolyte was obtained in a LillCu cell, and stable Li plating/stripping behaviors under large current density with high Li utilization were also achieved in symmetric cell. Long life LMBs were achieved by the PHL-Cu@Li anodes and LFP cathodes, which could achieve 95.9% capacity retention after 900 cycles, far exceeding that of the bare Li anode. And the PHL-Cu@LillNCM full cell also exhibited high discharge capacity and excellent cycling stability even under more realistic condition of low N/P of 0.83. We believe this simple strategy will broaden the application of LiNO₃ in ester-based electrolyte for high-energy-density LMBs and other metal batteries.

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 22205191 and 52002346), the Science and Technology Innovation Program of Hunan Province (No. 2021RC3109), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, China (No. 2022JJ40446), and Guangxi Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Energy Material (No. 2020GXKLLCEM01).

Declarations

Conflict of Interests The authors declare no interest conflict. They have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-023-01300-5.

References

- X.-B. Cheng, R. Zhang, C.-Z. Zhao, Q. Zhang, Toward safe lithium metal anode in rechargeable batteries: a review. Chem. Rev. 117, 10403–10473 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. chemrev.7b00115
- X. Gao, Y.-N. Zhou, D. Han, J. Zhou, D. Zhou et al., Thermodynamic understanding of Li-dendrite formation. Joule 4, 1864–1879 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.06.016
- C. Chen, Q. Liang, Z. Chen, W. Zhu, Z. Wang et al., Phenoxy radical-induced formation of dual-layered protection film for high-rate and dendrite-free lithium-metal anodes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 133, 26922–26928 (2021). https://doi.org/10. 1002/ange.202110441
- J. Meng, M. Lei, C. Lai, Q. Wu, Y. Liu et al., Lithium ion repulsion-enrichment synergism induced by core-shell ionic complexes to enable high-loading lithium metal batteries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 23256–23266 (2021). https://doi. org/10.1002/anie.202108143
- Y. Zhang, T.-T. Zuo, J. Popovic, K. Lim, Y.-X. Yin et al., Towards better Li metal anodes: challenges and strategies. Mater. Today 33, 56–74 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mattod.2019.09.018
- C. Fang, X. Wang, Y.S. Meng, Key issues hindering a practical lithium-metal anode. Trends Chem. 1, 152–158 (2019). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2019.02.015
- 7. T. Wang, Y. Li, J. Zhang, K. Yan, P. Jaumaux et al., Immunizing lithium metal anodes against dendrite growth using protein

molecules to achieve high energy batteries. Nat. Commun. **11**, 5429 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19246-2

- H. Wu, Q. Wu, F. Chu, J. Hu, Y. Cui et al., Sericin protein as a conformal protective layer to enable air-endurable Li metal anodes and high-rate Li-S batteries. J. Power. Sources 419, 72–81 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.02.033
- R. Xu, X.-B. Cheng, C. Yan, X.-Q. Zhang, Y. Xiao et al., Artificial interphases for highly stable lithium metal anode. Matter 1, 317–344 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2019.05.016
- Y. Han, B. Liu, Z. Xiao, W. Zhang, X. Wang et al., Interface issues of lithium metal anode for high-energy batteries: challenges, strategies, and perspectives. InfoMat 3, 155–174 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/inf2.12166
- P. Zhai, L. Liu, X. Gu, T. Wang, Y. Gong, Interface engineering for lithium metal anodes in liquid electrolyte. Adv. Energy Mater. 10, 2001257 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/ aenm.202001257
- A. Hu, W. Chen, X. Du, Y. Hu, T. Lei et al., An artificial hybrid interphase for an ultrahigh-rate and practical lithium metal anode. Energy Environ. Sci. 14(7), 4115–4124 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EE00508A
- Q. Zhao, X. Chen, W. Hou, B. Ye, Y. Zhang et al., A facile, scalable, high stability Lithium metal anode. SusMat 2, 104–112 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1002/sus2.43
- J. He, A. Bhargav, A. Manthiram, Covalent organic framework as an efficient protection layer for a stable lithium-metal anode. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 61, e202116586 (2022). https:// doi.org/10.1002/anie.202116586
- Q. Yang, J. Hu, Z. Yao, J. Liu, C. Li, Durable Li₂CN₂ solid electrolyte interphase wired by carbon nanodomains via in situ interface lithiation to enable long-cycling Li metal batteries. Adv. Funct. Mater. 33, 2206778 (2023). https://doi.org/10. 1002/adfm.202206778
- Q. Yang, J. Hu, J. Meng, C. Li, C-F-rich oil drop as a nonexpendable fluid interface modifier with low surface energy to stabilize a Li metal anode. Energy Environ. Sci. 14, 3621– 3631 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE03952G
- C. Chen, Q. Liang, G. Wang, D. Liu, X. Xiong, Grain-boundary-rich artificial SEI layer for high-rate lithium metal anodes. Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**, 2107249 (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1002/adfm.202107249
- L. Fan, B. Sun, K. Yan, P. Xiong, X. Guo et al., A dual-protective artificial interface for stable lithium metal anodes. Adv. Energy Mater. 11, 2102242 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/ aenm.202102242
- C. Wu, J. Hu, Q. Yang, M. Lei, Y. Yu et al., Open framework perovskite derivate SEI with fluorinated heterogeneous nanodomains for practical Li-metal pouch cells. Nano Energy 113, 108523 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2023.108523
- Q. Wang, J. Yang, X. Huang, Z. Zhai, J. Tang et al., Rigid and flexible SEI layer formed over a cross-linked polymer for enhanced ultrathin Li metal anode performance. Adv. Energy Mater. 12, 2103972 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm. 202103972

- H. Yuan, X. Ding, T. Liu, J. Nai, Y. Wang et al., A review of concepts and contributions in lithium metal anode development. Mater. Today 53, 173–196 (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.mattod.2022.01.015
- H. Wu, Z. Yao, Q. Wu, S. Fan, C. Yin et al., Confinement effect and air tolerance of Li plating by lithiophilic poly(vinyl alcohol) coating for dendrite-free Li metal batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 7, 22257–22264 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1039/ C9TA09146G
- W. Cao, J. Lu, K. Zhou, G. Sun, J. Zheng et al., Organicinorganic composite SEI for a stable Li metal anode by in situ polymerization. Nano Energy 95, 106983 (2022). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.106983
- J. Zhu, P. Li, X. Chen, D. Legut, Y. Fan et al., Rational design of graphitic-inorganic Bi-layer artificial SEI for stable lithium metal anode. Energy Storage Mater. 16, 426–433 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2018.06.023
- Y. Liu, X. Xu, O.O. Kapitanova, P.V. Evdokimov, Z. Song et al., Electro-chemo-mechanical modeling of artificial solid electrolyte interphase to enable uniform electrodeposition of lithium metal anodes. Adv. Energy Mater. 12, 2270035 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202270035
- Y. Xie, Y. Huang, Y. Zhang, T. Wu, S. Liu et al., Surface modification using heptafluorobutyric acid to produce highly stable Li metal anodes. Nat. Commun. 14, 2883 (2023). https://doi. org/10.1038/s41467-023-38724-x
- G. Wang, C. Chen, Y. Chen, X. Kang, C. Yang et al., Selfstabilized and strongly adhesive supramolecular polymer protective layer enables ultrahigh-rate and large-capacity lithiummetal anode. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59, 2055–2060 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201913351
- C. Yan, Y.-X. Yao, X. Chen, X.-B. Cheng, X.-Q. Zhang et al., Lithium nitrate solvation chemistry in carbonate electrolyte sustains high-voltage lithium metal batteries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 14055–14059 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie. 201807034
- E. Winter, M. Briccola, T.J. Schmidt, S. Trabesinger, Enabling LiNO₃ in carbonate electrolytes by flame-retardant electrolyte additive as a cosolvent for enhanced performance of lithium metal batteries. Appl. Res. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1002/ appl.202200096
- C.-X. Bi, L.-P. Hou, Z. Li, M. Zhao, X.-Q. Zhang et al., Protecting lithium metal anodes in lithium-sulfur batteries: a review. Energy Mater. Adv. 4, 0010 (2023). https://doi.org/ 10.34133/energymatadv.0010
- J. Tan, M. Ye, J. Shen, Deciphering the role of LiNO₃ additives in Li-S batteries. Mater. Horiz. 9, 2325–2334 (2022). https:// doi.org/10.1039/d2mh00469k
- W. Li, H. Yao, K. Yan, G. Zheng, Z. Liang et al., The synergetic effect of lithium polysulfide and lithium nitrate to prevent lithium dendrite growth. Nat. Commun. 6, 7436 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8436
- W. Fang, Z. Wen, L. Chen, Z. Qin, J. Li et al., Constructing inorganic-rich solid electrolyte interphase via abundant anionic solvation sheath in commercial carbonate electrolytes.

Nano Energy **104**, 107881 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nanoen.2022.107881

- R. May, K.J. Fritzsching, D. Livitz, S.R. Denny, L.E. Marbella, Rapid interfacial exchange of Li ions dictates high coulombic efficiency in Li metal anodes. ACS Energy Lett. (2021). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c00112
- 35. J.-T. Kim, I. Phiri, S.-Y. Ryou, Incorporation of embedded protective layers to circumvent the low LiNO₃ solubility problem and enhance Li metal anode cycling performance. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 6, 2311–2319 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsaem.2c03511
- 36. Q. Shi, Y. Zhong, M. Wu, H. Wang, H. Wang, High-capacity rechargeable batteries based on deeply cyclable lithium metal anodes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, 5676–5680 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803634115
- D. Liu, X. Xiong, Q. Liang, X. Wu, H. Fu, An inorganicrich SEI induced by LiNO₃ additive for a stable lithium metal anode in carbonate electrolyte. Chem. Commun. 57, 9232– 9235 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC03676A
- W. Zhang, Q. Wu, J. Huang, L. Fan, Z. Shen et al., Colossal granular lithium deposits enabled by the grain-coarsening effect for high-efficiency lithium metal full batteries. Adv. Mater. 32, e2001740 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma. 202001740
- Y. Jie, X. Liu, Z. Lei, S. Wang, Y. Chen et al., Enabling high-voltage lithium metal batteries by manipulating solvation structure in ester electrolyte. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59, 3505–3510 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914250
- D. Xiao, Q. Li, D. Luo, G. Li, H. Liu et al., Regulating the Li⁺-solvation structure of ester electrolyte for high-energydensity lithium metal batteries. Small 16, e2004688 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202004688
- Q. Liu, Y. Xu, J. Wang, B. Zhao, Z. Li et al., Sustained-release nanocapsules enable long-lasting stabilization of Li anode for practical Li-metal batteries. Nano-Micro Lett. 12, 176 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-020-00514-1
- Y. Wen, J. Ding, Y. Yang, X. Lan, J. Liu et al., Introducing NO₃⁻ into carbonate-based electrolytes via covalent organic framework to incubate stable interface for Li-metal batteries. Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**, 2109377 (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1002/adfm.202109377
- S. Zhou, C. Fu, Z. Chang, Y. Zhang, D. Xu et al., Conductivity gradient modulator induced highly reversible Li anodes in carbonate electrolytes for high-voltage lithium-metal batteries. Energy Storage Mater. 47, 482–490 (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ensm.2022.02.033
- Y. Liu, D. Lin, Y. Li, G. Chen, A. Pei et al., Solubility-mediated sustained release enabling nitrate additive in carbonate electrolytes for stable lithium metal anode. Nat. Commun. 9, 3656 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06077-5
- H. Yang, Q. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Ma, P. Tang et al., An interlayer containing dissociated LiNO₃ with fast release speed for stable lithium metal batteries with 400 wh kg⁻¹ energy density. Small 18, e2202349 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202202349

- J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865–3868 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865
- P.E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter 50, 17953–17979 (1994). https://doi.org/10. 1103/physrevb.50.17953
- S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344
- H. Chen, M. Zheng, S. Qian, H.Y. Ling, Z. Wu et al., Functional additives for solid polymer electrolytes in flexible and high-energy-density solid-state lithium-ion batteries. Carbon Energy 3, 929–956 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.146
- G.-Y. Li, J. Li, Z.-J. Li, Y.-P. Zhang, X. Zhang et al., Hierarchical PVDF-HFP/ZnO composite nanofiber–based highly sensitive piezoelectric sensor for wireless workout monitoring. Adv. Compos. Hybrid Mater. 5, 766–775 (2022). https://doi. org/10.1007/s42114-021-00331-z
- A. Mallikarjun, J. Siva Kumar, T. Sreekanth, M. Sangeetha, M.R. Mettu et al., Investigation of FT-IR and impedance spectroscopy of nanocomposite PVDF-HFP based polymer

electrolytes. Mater. Today Proc. (2023). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.matpr.2023.01.215

- S.K. Chacko, M.T. Rahul, B. Raneesh, K. Vinodan, J.K. Jose et al., Enhanced electroactive phase, dielectric properties and tuning of bandgap in Ho³⁺ modified PVDF-HFP composite films. J. Polym. Res. 29, 493 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10965-022-03318-6
- D. Luo, L. Zheng, Z. Zhang, M. Li, Z. Chen et al., Constructing multifunctional solid electrolyte interface via in situ polymerization for dendrite-free and low N/P ratio lithium metal batteries. Nat. Commun. 12, 186 (2021). https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-020-20339-1
- K.H. Kim, J.H. Cho, J.U. Hwang, J.S. Im, Y.-S. Lee, A key strategy to form a LiF-based SEI layer for a lithium-ion battery anode with enhanced cycling stability by introducing a semiionic C–F bond. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 99, 48–54 (2021). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2021.04.002
- 55. Y. Luo, T. Li, H. Zhang, W. Liu, X. Zhang et al., Endogenous symbiotic Li₃N/cellulose skin to extend the cycle life of lithium anode. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **60**, 11718–11724 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202017281